IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

MR JAMES DEAKIN

Claimant/Appellant

Claim No.: 2TGTMR1

~v~

LLANABBA SCHOOL

Defendant/Respondent

AGREED FACT PATTERN

- 1. Mr James Deakin (born on 2 July 2004) ("Mr Deakin") was a student at Llanabba School, Wales ("the School") from September 2015 until July 2022 (i.e. from the age of 11 until he completed A-Levels in the summer of 2022).
- 2. On Thursday 2 July 2020 Mr Deakin (then aged 16) was in the School. At the time, schools in Wales (including the School) had only been open since 29 June 2020 following the first lockdown (beginning on 20 March 2020) in the Covid-19 pandemic.
- 3. Given the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic and the perceived need to reduce the spread of the virus, the School had made clear that students were to stay within their set groups and were not to mix with other groups during school hours.
- 4. To help avoid students mixing outside of their set groups, the school had put in place staggered break and lunch periods.
- 5. Mr Deakin's group was due to have lunch from 1320-1400 on 2 July 2020. However, Mr Deakin's best friends were in another group and due to have lunch from 1240-1320. Given it was his birthday, Mr Deakin wished to see his friends and, at 1300, asked his teacher if he could go to the bathroom. The teacher said yes. Mr Deakin did not go to the bathroom; instead, he went to the School yard to see his friends.

- 6. The School yard is a large yard surrounded by a fence. The fence is entirely on Schoolowned land. Given the risks of break-ins, the fence has metal protrusions along the top edge, to deter people from climbing it. The School's students had previously been told not to climb the fence. In any event, it was against the School's rules to leave the premises during the school day (i.e. between 0915-1530).
- 7. Whilst in the yard with his friends, Mr Deakin became worried he may be seen to be breaking Covid-19 rules by mixing outside of his group. As such, he and his friends decided to climb over the fence and go to a local shop, away from their teachers.
- 8. They had climbed the fence in the past without incident and, on two occasions, had been seen climbing the fence by teachers (albeit those teachers had left the school in March 2020, having taken early retirement).
- 9. One part of the fence had tables stacked up, next to it. The tables had been removed from a nearby classroom to allow for social distancing. Using those tables, Mr Deakin and friends easily climbed the fence. They went to the shop and bought Mr Deakin a birthday cake, which they are quickly.
- 10. To return to the School, Mr Deakin and his friends climbed back over the fence. Unfortunately, Mr Deakin's shirt was (against the School's uniform policy) untucked. It caught on the fence as he was climbing over it, causing him to fall. Mr Deakin broke his arm. As a result, he says he will never become a professional DJ.
- 11. Mr Deakin brought a claim against the School under the Occupiers' Liability Act 1957 only. He chose not to bring his claim in negligence as well.
- 12. Following a liability only trial, the first instance judge, Mrs Justice Beste-Chetwynde found against Mr Deakin as:
 - I. He was not a visitor to the School fence, see *Phillips (a Minor) v South Eastern Education and Library Board* [2015] NIQB 91; *Kolasa v Ealing Hospital NHS Trust* [2015] EWHC 298 (QB). Not only were students expressly forbidden from climbing the fence, but Mr Deakin fell while climbing <u>back into</u> (not out of) the School yard.

- II. In any event, the School had not failed to take such care as was in all the circumstances reasonable to keep Mr Deakin reasonably safe.
- 13. Mr Deakin appeals to the Court of Appeal he argues that he was a visitor and that the School had failed to take such care as was in all the circumstances reasonable to keep him reasonably safe pursuant to section 2 of the Occupiers' Liability Act 1957.
- 14. The Court of Appeal has asked that the Parties provide written arguments, limited to two pages and two authorities (in addition to those mentioned in this Agreed Fact Pattern). Instructions as to formatting are in the Competition Rules.
- 15. In light of the fact this is hearing is limited to written arguments, the Court of Appeal expects Parties to address both their arguments and any key counter-arguments they think they might face.
- 16. You are instructed on behalf of Mr Deakin to draft his two-page written argument.